

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/660,884	Applicant(s) ROWE ET AL.	
	Examiner Christopher L. Lavin	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:

(1) Christopher L. Lavin.

(2) Patrick M. Boucher (Reg. No. 44,037).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 October 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

N/A

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The case was in condition for allowance, but the examiner discovered that the Brief Description of the Drawings did not include descriptions for all the drawings. The applicant's representative asked the examiner to make an examiner's amendment using descriptions that were already provided in the parent case (6,628,809)..